



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/584,373	05/31/2000	CHRISTOPHER D. BLAIR	105732	1323

7590

06/04/2003

OLIFF & BERRIDGE PLC
P O BOX 19928
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER

BLACKWELL RUDASIL, GWENDOLYN A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1775

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application N .

09/584,373

Applicant(s)

BLAIR ET AL.

Examiner

Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill

Art Unit

1775

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27-41 and 44 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,5-7,10,11,13-15,18-20,23,24,26,42 and 43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 3,4,8,9,12,16,17,21,22 and 25 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 08 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3-4 and 16-17 are objected to because of the following informalities: the glass composition indicates that one of the components is "soda". Soda has many definitions. Is the term soda being used to mean sodium carbonate?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-2, 5-6, and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent no. 5,327,747, Nakashima .

Nakashima discloses a metal roll with a glass coating. The metal roll is coated with a smooth glass layer, (column 2, lines 16-23). In addition the glass coating is a silicate glass as

Art Unit: 1775

demonstrated by the glass compositions in column 4, lines 10-20, meeting the requirements of claims 1-2, 5-6, and 10.

Nakashima also discloses that the smooth glass coating enables the coated roll to have increase chemical durability as well as a non-stick surface, meeting the requirements of claim 11, (column 1, lines 40-50).

5. Claims 1, 10-11, 13-14, 23-24, 26 and 42-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by United States Patent no. 6,254,976, Ono with United States Patent no. 4057,666, Drummond used as an evidentiary reference.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Ono discloses an electrophotographic charging member in the form of a roll with a protective outer coating, (column 2, lines 61-66). Metal is used as the core/support of the roll, (column 4, lines 18-33). Glass can be used as the protective coating with conductive fine particles dispersed throughout the coating, meeting the requirements of claims 1, 11, 14, and 24, (column 6, lines 52-61).

Ono also discloses that the thickness of the protective layer ranges from 1-300 μm (0.001-0.3 mm), meeting the requirements of claims 42 and 43, (column 7, lines 48-50).

Art Unit: 1775

While Ono does not specifically disclose that the charging member is used in an electrostatographic imaging apparatus, Drummond demonstrates that it is known in the art to use the charging member in electrostatographic processes, (column 1, lines 9-28).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

8. Claims 7, 15, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over United States Patent no. 6,254,976, Ono in view of United States Patent no. 5,327,747, Nakashima.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37

Art Unit: 1775

CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention “by another”; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

The limitations of claims 1 and 14 have been disclosed above by Ono. In addition, Ono discloses that the electric resistivity of the charging member can be controlled by filling the depth of the deposited metal from the bottom of the pore in the porous aluminum film, (column 5, lines 56-62). Ono does not specifically disclose the type of glass used as the protective layer or the surface configuration of the glass.

Nakashima discloses a metal roll with a glass coating. The metal roll is coated with a smooth glass layer, (column 2, lines 16-23). In addition the glass coating is a silicate glass as demonstrated by the glass compositions in column 4, lines 10-20. Nakashima also discloses that the smooth glass coating enables the coated roll to have increase chemical durability as well as a non-stick surface, (column 1, lines 40-50).

Art Unit: 1775

Nakashima discloses a glass coated roll that can be used in printing processes, (column 1, lines 11-32). Ono also discloses a glass coated roll that is used in printing processes. As such, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to make the glass coating of Ono with the silicate of Nakashima to make a glass coated roll used as a charging member in an electrostatographic imaging apparatus having a smooth surface upon which foreign matter will not stick therefore increasing the productivity of the imaging apparatus.

While Ono does not specifically disclose the electrical resistivity of the film as exemplified by Applicant, Ono has provided a means for controlling the electrical resistivity, (column 5, lines 56-62). As such, one skilled in the art would be able to optimize the electrical resistivity of the glass coating through routine experimentation to obtain the necessary level of electrical resistivity in the coating to fully function as a charging member, (column 1, lines 9-19).

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 3-4, 8-9, 12, 16-17, 21-22, and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior art of record do not teach or suggest the glass composition of the coating, the hardness of the coating, the coefficient of thermal expansion, or that the core comprises a non-metallic material with a metal coating formed thereon.

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill whose telephone number is (703) 305-9741. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday; 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (703) 308-3822. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Gwendolyn A. Blackwell-Rudasill
Examiner
Art Unit 1775

GBR
gbr

May 31, 2003


DEBORAH JONES
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER